

People now have the freedom to **work and live anywhere in the world** due to the development of **communication technology and transportation**.

Do the advantages of this development outweigh the disadvantages?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant example from your own experience or knowledge.

It is true that advancements in means of transportation and communication have been massively liberating people to live and work wherever they feel like home. While these changes may negatively affect some countries and their citizens, it seems to me that the ir impact ~~of these changes~~ have been globally positive, and so we should look favorably upon it.

For some developing countries, moving to other parts of the world for either working or living has been detrimental. Considering low costs of international flights and availability of free communication services, the most ~~enable-capable~~ citizens of these countries do not worry about losing to lose family bonding, nor are they missed by friends and acquaintances. Consequently, they set out to seek ~~for~~ superior living conditions and job opportunities in developed countries, which has depleted some countries of their professionals, hence a seemingly huge toll on their economy.

However, the ability to choose where you go for a living can improve ~~people's~~ the quality of life. Old people, who have been retired, can move to less crowded countries, which promote a better lifestyle and have warmer climates. Many retirees from England, for instance, choose to spend their retirement years in countries like Australia while keeping in touch with their children and families ~~with~~ via calling apps.

Furthermore, this freedom can be also promising for people who want to start a new business without accessing ~~to~~ huge funds. Nowadays, many developing countries have established industrial zones for start-ups. What business owners can do is moving their newly found ed ventures to these remote areas, which offer cheaper workforce, compelling tax incentives, and affordable properties, while they can enjoy complete connectivity with the homeland via cheap flights and communication.

In conclusion, I argue that although some countries might find this trend harmful, these changes, if implemented properly, would be hugely beneficial for people.